

24 August 2021

963 MATAKANA ROAD,

MATAKANA

GEOTECHNICAL COMPLETION REPORT

Cabra Properties Limited AKL2020-0049AF Rev.0

AKL2020-0049AF				
Date	Revision	Comments		
20 August 2021	А	Initial draft for internal review		
24 August 2021	0	Final issue to client		

	Name	Signature	Position
Prepared by	Jasmine Walden	A	Project Engineering Geologist
Reviewed by	Gary Tang	fours	Principal Engineering Geologist
Authorised by	Andrew Linton	AS	Principal Geotechnical Engineer, CPEng



Table of Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	.1
2.	PROJECT BACKGROUND	.1
3.	DESCRIPTION OF EARTHWORKS	.1
4.	GEOTECHNICAL QUALITY CONTROL	.2
4 4	.1. Site Observations	.2 .2
5.	EVALUATION OF COMPLETED EARTHWORKS	.2
5555555	 Natural Hazards Land Stability and Erosion Control Retaining Walls Fill Induced Settlement Service Line Trenches Road Subgrades Toesign of Shallow Foundations 5.7.1. Bearing Capacity 5.7.2. Foundation Settlements 5.7.3. Soil Expansiveness Classification 	233333 3444 44
5	.8. Topsoil Depths	.5
6.	CLOSURE	.5

Appendices

Appendix A – Statement of Professional Opinion as to the Suitability of Land For Building Development

Appendix B – Drawings

Appendix C – Laboratory Test Data

Appendix D – Field Test Data

Appendix E – Producer Statement

1. INTRODUCTION

In accordance with our instructions, this Geotechnical Completion Report has been prepared for Cabra Properties Limited as part of the documentation to be submitted to Auckland Council following earthworks for the Matakana subdivision development. Construction of this residential subdivision has been undertaken in accordance with the Auckland Council Resource Consent number BUN60360749 dated 16 October 2020. Specific structures constructed during the civil works to create the subdivision include keystone retaining walls.

This report contains our Suitability Statement, specific comments related to items raised in the Resource Consent, relevant test data and the Hutchinson Consulting Engineers as-built plan set as provided in Appendix B.

This report covers the construction period January 2021 to July 2021 and is intended to be used for certification purposes for new lots (listed below) created from existing Pt. Lot 2 DP42253, Lot 1 DP37215 and Lot 1 DP92971 as follows:

- 33 new residential lots numbered 1 to 32 and 35;
- 2 new roads numbered Road 1 and 2 respectively;
- 1 jointly owned access lot (JOAL);

This Development is located off Matakana Road. As can be seen from the as-built plans, 8 of the lots have been affected by filling as part of the earthworks operations to a maximum depth of approximately 1.8m.

2. PROJECT BACKGROUND

The geotechnical investigations and reporting were undertaken by CMW Geosciences as presented in the following reports:

- Geotechnical Investigation Report for 963 Matakana Road, Matakana, referenced AKL2020-0049AB Rev.0, dated 25 May 2020;
- Council Request For Further Information Letter for Keystone Retaining Wall Parameters, referenced AKL2020-0049AC Rev.0, dated 16 December 2020;
- Geotechnical Recommendations Letter for Lots 6,8,9 and 24 Foundation Design, referenced AKL2020-0049AD Rev.0, dated 11 February 2021;
- Geotechnical Letter containing Statement of Professional Opinion for Lots 6, 8, 9 and 24, referenced AKL2020-0049AG.Rev.0, dated 20 July 2021.

3. DESCRIPTION OF EARTHWORKS

Earthworks operations for the Matakana Road development began in early January 2021 with the installation of silt fences and other environmental controls. The stripping of topsoil, mulch and soft shallow overland flow path/channel materials were observed not long after. The first earthworks filling was undertaken along the centre of the southern boundary where the sediment retention pond was formed.

Filling within the south-western portion of the site began in mid-January and this saw the beginning of the main cut to fill to form the sites finished levels. Early February 2021 saw the formation of Road 1 and 2 and the topsoiling of the eastern lots due to minimal earthworks being required across these areas.

In early March 2021 construction of the keystone retaining walls began along with the subdivision's civil works. During the formation of Road 1 at the entrance of the subdivision an undercut was completed to remove vegetation, uncontrolled fill and an old concrete slab. The undercut was backfilled with compacted hardfill. The jointly owned access lot (JOAL) was also formed in conjunction with the two roads.

In late March 2021 the silt pond was decommissioned and backfilled. The construction of the keystone walls and installation of the services continued until their completion in July 2021. The final portion of the site fill was completed in late June 2021 and saw the topsoiling of the remainder of the lots.

4. GEOTECHNICAL QUALITY CONTROL

4.1. Site Observations

During the earthworks site visits were typically undertaken several times each week to assess compliance with NZS 4431 and specific design recommendations and specifications.

Site visits were carried out to observe and confirm compliance relating to:

- Adequate topsoil stripping;
- Fill areas prior to the placement of fill materials to ascertain that all mullock and soft inorganic subsoils had been removed;
- Excavation and backfilling of sewer and stormwater trenches;
- Subgrade ground conditions and hardfill compaction for keystone retaining wall footings;
- Placement and compaction of engineered fills.

4.2. Compaction Control

Compaction of engineered earth fills was controlled by undrained shear strength measured by hand held shear vane calibrated using the NZGS 2001 method and by air voids as defined by NZS4402.

The criteria for undrained shear strength were a minimum single value of 110 kPa and minimum average of any 10 consecutive tests of 140 kPa.

The criteria for air voids were a maximum single value of 12% and maximum average of any 10 consecutive tests of 10%.

Vane shear strength, water content and in situ density tests were carried out on all areas of the engineered filling to at least the frequency recommended by NZS 4431.

These tests showed on occasions that the contractor was struggling to achieve the required compaction standards with the prevailing site and soil conditions, but to the best of our knowledge, all areas of fill were re-worked as necessary. Subsequent testing confirmed compliance with the specification.

5. EVALUATION OF COMPLETED EARTHWORKS

5.1. Natural Hazards

The appended as-built drawings depict the extents of a series of zones that contain limitations intended to ensure that future building and/ or earthworks on the lots is undertaken in a manner that does not lead to buildings being subject to any of the natural hazards described in Section 71(3) of the Building Act, i.e. erosion, falling debris, subsidence, slippage, and inundation. Consideration of the inundation hazard was outside the scope of CMW's brief and has been assessed by others. The applied zones include:

• **Specific Design Zones (retaining)** - intended to protect the retaining walls from overloading at the crest or undermining at the toe that could lead to instability;

Full descriptions of the restrictions associated with each of these zones are presented in the Suitability Statement (Appendix A). Additional information is also provided in some of the following sections.

5.2. Land Stability and Erosion Control

The subdivision scheme layout includes a series of minor batter slopes within lots 1, 2, 13, 14 and 35 where retaining walls are constructed to form level terraces for building platforms. The batters include portions of the residential lots with maximum gradients of 1(v) in 2.5(h) as depicted on the as-built drawings.

On all steep land, including on engineered batter slopes, surface stability can be compromised by indiscriminate disposal of stormwater onto the ground surface and/ or by removal of vegetation.

Building and landscape designers must ensure that all runoff from solid surfaces is directed into the stormwater system. It is also important that care is paid to the disposal of stormwater during construction so that concentrated discharges (e.g. from unconnected spouting) are not directed towards steep ground.

Depths of mulch and topsoil applied to sloping areas should be limited to less than 150mm to minimise the risks of saturation leading to localised slumping on batter faces. Wherever practical on such land, and particularly on steep batters, existing vegetation and grass cover should be well maintained. Any vegetation cleared beyond the immediate area of building platforms for temporary construction purposes should be replanted or replaced as soon as possible. The roots of an established vegetation cover can serve to bind the surface soils while the foliage can reduce rain infiltration and soil saturation, resulting in better resistance to erosion and shallow slumping.

5.3. Retaining Walls

Keystone retaining walls have been constructed in the locations shown on the appended Hutchinson Consulting Engineers Keystone Retaining Wall As-built Plan. These walls reach a maximum height of approximately 1m and were designed by Hutchinson Consulting Engineers, with part of the construction observed by this consultancy. CMW inspected the ground conditions and hardfill compaction for the footings and a copy of the Producer Statement - Construction Review is provided in Appendix E.

Descriptions of the building and earthworks restrictions within the vicinity of these walls (Specific Design Zones – retaining) are contained in the Suitability Statement in Appendix A. Lots containing these zones include Lot 1, 2, 13, 14 and 35 inclusive.

5.4. Fill Induced Settlement

On the basis of the relatively minor magnitude of fill depths on this site, together with the elapsed time since it was placed, we consider that remaining post-construction settlements will be within code limits.

5.5. Service Line Trenches

As part of the civil works, sanitary sewer and stormwater services were trenched throughout the development as shown on the appended Hutchinson Consulting Engineers Stormwater and Wastewater As-built Plans.

The As-built Plans mentioned above illustrate that no services other than lot connections were installed within the subdivisional lots and therefore should not be encountered during building construction. Details for water and wastewater pipes are available in the Watercare COP1 - General Requirements and Procedures.

5.6. Road Subgrades

Penetration resistance testing (DCP) was carried out on the road subgrades during construction and the results of this testing were forwarded to Hutchinson Consulting Engineers for remedial pavement design as necessary.

5.7. Design of Shallow Foundations

5.7.1. Bearing Capacity

Once bulk earthworks and top-soiling of the building platforms had been completed, our staff drilled hand auger boreholes on platforms in natural ground to determine representative finished ground conditions and hence evaluate likely foundation options for future building development. Our assessments of bearing capacity for the design of shallow foundations on each building platform are contained in the appended Suitability Statement.

At current subgrade levels Lots 1 to 5, 7 to 8, 10 to 32 and 35 inclusive have been assessed as having a geotechnical ultimate bearing capacity of 300 kPa within the influence of conventional shallow residential building foundation loads. However, on account of the presence of soft natural subsoils, a geotechnical ultimate bearing capacity of 250 kPa has been assessed for Lots 6 and 9.

If higher geotechnical ultimate bearing capacities are required, further specific site investigation and design of foundations should be carried out prior to Building Consent application.

5.7.2. Foundation Settlements

At the bearing pressures specified above and subject to the design requirements for soil expansiveness provided below, differential settlement of shallow foundations for buildings designed in accordance with NZS 3604 (including the 600mm subfloor fill depth limit) should be within code limits.

5.7.3. Soil Expansiveness Classification

Seasonal shrinking and swelling results in vertical surface ground movement which can cause significant cracking of floor slabs and walls. NZS 3604:2011¹ excludes from the definition of 'good ground', soils with a liquid limit of more than 50% and a linear shrinkage of more than 15% due to their potential to shrink and swell as a result of seasonal fluctuations in water content. For soils exceeding these limits, NZS 3604 has historically referenced AS 2870² for foundation design advice. However the November 2019 update of Acceptable Solution B1/AS1³ provides amendments to NZS 3604 that define a method for testing and classifying the soils and provides foundation designs for specific, simple house configurations across the range of expansive soil conditions.

Nevertheless, there is evidence⁴ indicating that the use of the B1/AS1 method of assessment of expansiveness may be inaccurate.

9 sets of soil tests were carried out on samples taken from likely foundation level on lots within this development. Testing was carried out in accordance with NZS 4402, "Methods of Testing Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes" test 2.2 and 2.6 and were used in conjunction with visual-tactile assessment of the site soils and BRANZ Report SR120A⁵ to determine expansive site classes as defined in AS 2870, "Residential Slabs and Footings – Construction". All test results are enclosed (Appendix D).

The expansive soil hazard is addressed by a combination of appropriate foundation design, careful site preparation and diligent maintenance of plantings near the foundations.

¹ Standards New Zealand (2011) Timber-framed buildings, NZS 3604:2011, NZ Standard

² Standards Australia Limited (2011) *Residential slabs and footings*, AS 2870-2011, Australian Standard, NSW

³ Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (2019) Acceptable Solutions and Verification Methods for NZ Building Code Clause B1 Structure, B1/AS1, Amendment 19

⁴ Rogers, N., McDougall, N., Twose, G., Teal, J. & Smith, T. (2020) The Shrink Swell Test: A Critical Analysis, *NZ Geomechanics News,* Issue 99, pages 66-80.

⁵ Fraser Thomas Limited (2008) - Addendum Study Report (BRANZ SR120A), Soil Expansivity in the Auckland Region – Final Report

Foundation Design

We have assessed the AS 2870 Site Class for all lots within the development to be as detailed below:

- M (medium) Lots 1 to 4, 12 to 21, 29 to 32 and 35 inclusive;
- H1 (high) Lots 5 to 11and 22 to 28 inclusive.

Details of foundation options for these Classes are contained in the appended Suitability Statement.

Site Preparation

There have been instances of concrete floors and/ or foundations that have been poured on dry, desiccated subgrades in summer months on expansive soils and have undergone heaving and cracking requiring extensive repairs or re-building once the soil moisture contents have returned to higher levels. In some instances, perimeter foundations have been appropriately treated but floor slabs have been poured on dry ground. Infiltration of moisture via pipe bedding has then occurred.

Foundation contractors need to be made aware of the extreme damage potentially caused by these circumstances and the need to maintain appropriate moisture contents in the footings <u>and</u> building platform subgrade between the time of excavation and the pouring of concrete.

Remedial actions that may be appropriate include platform protection with a hard fill layer, pouring of a blinding layer of concrete in footing bases and soaking of the building platform with sprinklers for an extended period.

Site Maintenance

Landowners must be mindful that either the <u>planting or removal</u> of high-water demand plants where their roots may extend close to footings (i.e. within a lateral distance of 1.5 times the mature tree height) can cause settlement or heave damage.

5.8. Topsoil Depths

Topsoil depths have been checked by drilling of a borehole in the approximate centre of the building platform on each lot. The results are considered indicative for each lot, but may be subject to variations. Topsoil depths are between 50 and 300mm on this development.

Site specific findings are contained in the appended Suitability Statement Summary (Appendix A). However, it is possible that further levelling works have been undertaken since our investigations and accordingly, we strongly recommend that lot purchasers complete their own checks of topsoil depths.

6. CLOSURE

The appended Statement of Professional Opinion is provided to the Auckland Council and Cabra Properties Limited for their purposes alone on the express condition that it will not be relied upon by any other person. It is important that prospective purchasers satisfy themselves as to any specific conditions pertaining to their particular land interest.

Although regular site visits have been undertaken for observation, for providing guidance and instruction and for testing purposes, the geotechnical services scope did not include full time site presence. To this end, our appended Suitability Statement also relies on the Contractors' work practices and assumes that when we have not been present to observe the work, it has been completed to high standards and in accordance with the drawings, instructions and consent conditions provided to them.

Similarly it assumes that all as-built information and other details provided to the Client and/or CMW by other members of the project team are accurate and correct in all respects.

Appendix A: Statement of Professional Opinion as to the Suitability of Land for Building Development

STATEMENT OF PROFESSIONAL OPINION AS TO THE SUITABILITY OF LAND FOR BUILDING DEVELOPMENT

I, Andrew Linton, of CMW Geosciences (NZ) Limited Partnership, Auckland, hereby confirm that:

- 1. As a Chartered Professional Engineer experienced in the field of geotechnical engineering, I am a Geo-professional as defined in section 1.2.2 of NZS 4404 and was retained by the Developer as the Geotechnical Engineer of the 963 Matakana Road Development.
- The extent of preliminary investigations carried out to date are described in the CMW Geosciences Geotechnical Investigation Design Report referenced AKL2020-0049AB Rev.0, dated 25 May 2020. The conclusions and recommendations of that document have been re-evaluated in the preparation of this report. The results of all tests carried out are also appended.
- 3. In my professional opinion, not to be construed as a guarantee, I consider that:
 - (a) The earth fills shown on the appended Hutchinson Consulting Engineers Cut to Fill As-built Plan have been placed in compliance with NZS 4431, the Auckland Council Unitary Plan and related documents.
 - (b) The completed earthworks give due regard to land slope and foundation stability considerations on the building platform areas.
 - (c) Specific Design Zone (Retaining) areas have been applied on Lots 1, 2, 13, 14 and 35 inclusive for the protection of the function of the retaining walls. The retaining walls on this stage of the development were designed with a maximum 22° batter and no toe slope. No building construction and no earthworks (i.e. cuts or fills) should take place that exceed these design limits on the walls unless endorsed by a Chartered Professional Engineer experienced in geomechanics and familiar with the contents of this report who consider the stability implications of the earthworks and/ or building proposals on the retaining walls.
 - (d) A geotechnical ultimate bearing capacity of 300 kPa may be assumed for shallow foundation design on the building platforms of Lots 1 to 5, 7 to 8, 10 to 32 and 35 inclusive.

Due to the presence of softer natural subsoils on the building platforms of Lots 6 and 9 a geotechnical ultimate bearing capacity of 250 kPa may be assumed for shallow foundation design on these lots.

If for any reason higher geotechnical bearing capacities are required, further specific site investigation and design of foundations should be carried out prior to Building Consent application.

- (e) The expansive site Class for all lots has been assessed as the following from AS2870:
 - M (medium) Lots 1 to 4, 12 to 21, 29 to 32 and 35 inclusive;
 - **H1 (high)** Lots 5 to 11 and 22 to 28 inclusive.

We recommend that building designers note on the Building Consent drawings the need to maintain appropriate moisture levels across building subgrades and in footing excavations (as described in Section 5.7.3 of the Geotechnical Completion Report) for reference by foundation contractors.

(f) Subject to the geotechnical limitations, restrictions and recommendations contained in clauses 3(a), 3(b), 3(c), 3(d) and 3(e) above:

- (i) The filled and natural ground is generally suitable for residential buildings constructed in accordance with NZS 3604 and the requirements of AS2870 for the appropriate expansive soil class.
- (ii) Where shallow foundations are appropriate, design may be carried out in accordance with AS 2870 (soil classifications for lots within this stage are detailed in clause (e) above) or alternately, a specific foundation and structural design may be undertaken by a Chartered Professional Engineer.
- 4. Road subgrades have been formed with appropriate regard for slope stability and settlement risks.

The following table summarises the conditions on each of the residential lots.

For and on behalf of CMW Geosciences

Andrew Linton Principal Geotechnical Engineer, CPEng

Г

GCR SUMMARY TABLE							
Condition	Specific Design Zone (retaining)	Geotechnical Ultimate Bearing Capacity (kPa)	AS2870 Expansive Class	Indicative Topsoil Depth (mm)			
GCR SOPO Clause	3(c)	3(d)	3(e)				
Lot number							
1	•	300	М	200			
2	•	300	М	200			
3		300	М	250			
4		300	М	200			
5		300	H1	150			
6		250	H1	150			
7		300	H1	250			
8		300	H1	200			
9		250	H1	150			
10		300	H1	150			
11		300	H1	250			
12		300	М	100			
13	•	300	М	200			
14	•	300	М	300			
15		300	М	300			
16		300	М	200			
17		300	М	200			
18		300	М	200			

GCR SUMMARY TABLE							
Condition	Specific Design Zone (retaining)	Geotechnical Ultimate Bearing Capacity (kPa)	AS2870 Expansive Class	Indicative Topsoil Depth (mm)			
GCR SOPO Clause	3(c)	3(d)	3(e)				
19		300	М	200			
20		300	М	200			
21		300	М	200			
22		300	М	150			
23		300	М	250			
24		300	H1	200			
25		300	H1	200			
26		300	H1	250			
27		300	H1	150			
28		300	H1	200			
29		300	М	100			
30		300	М	300			
31		300	М	200			
32		300	М	200			
35	•	300	М	200			

Appendix B: Drawings

Title	Reference No.	Date	Revision
As-Built Existing Contour Plan	24488-AB-002	August 2021	0
As-Built Contour Plan	24488-AB-003	August 2021	0
As-Built Cut to Fill Contour Plan	24488-AB-004	August 2021	0
As-Built Stormwater Plan	24488-AB-012	August 2021	0
As-Built Wastewater Plan	24488-AB-013	August 2021	0
As-Built Keystone Retaining Wall Plan	24488-AB-015	August 2021	0
As-Built Retaining Wall Specific Design Zone Plan	24488-AB-016	August 2021	0



\ \		_
		/
$\left\{ \right\}$		$\backslash $
3		
		$\langle \rangle \rangle \langle \rangle$
		$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$
		$\langle \langle \rangle$
2		
		~
	1 _{2.5}	× ·
5		/
N'		
I certify that th	nese As-built plans are an accurate record of the works unde	rtaken and that:
 The Coor The Leve 	dinates (X, Y) are in terms of NZTM Is (Z) are in terms of the Auckland 1946 (MSL) Lands & Sur	vey Datum
Signed:	Charter of Protocologia	<u> </u>
Date:	19 August 2021	
Duic.	~	<u>·</u>
Name:	Paige P. Farley	<u> </u>
	Title EXISTING CONTOUR PLAN	Sheet No.
)		AB-002
	Job No. A3-24488 AB	Rev.



_		
\mathbf{k}] / /	
1		/
4		_
		\setminus
X		$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$
3		$\setminus \setminus \setminus$
		$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$
		$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$
3	3 \	
		~
		/
° \		-
$\langle \rangle$		
	\backslash	\ \
\backslash		
T	A to the land and a company of the second	
 The Coor The Leve 	lese As-built plans are an accurate record or the works unde dinates (X, Y) are in terms of NZTM (X) are in terms of the Auguland 1046 (MSL) Landa & Cur	rtaken and that:
• The Leve		ey Datum
Signed:	Charterer Hondissional Frainder	·
Date:	I J AUGUST 2021	<u> </u>
Name:	raige r. rariey	<u> </u>
\ <u> </u>	Title	Sheet No.
	ASBUILT CONTOUR PLAN	
		AB-003
	Job No.	Rev.
	A3-24488 AB	



,		
~		
.0		
		7/
		$\langle \rangle \rangle$
		$ \setminus \setminus \setminus $
		$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$
		$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$
		$\langle \langle \rangle$
3	3	
5		/
		/
	7	
	EARTHWORKS NOTES	
$\langle \rangle$	AREA OF WORKS = 3.713 Ha	
n and that:	VOLUME OF EARTHWORKS	
atum	CUT = 5,500m ³ FILL = 5,100m ³	
<u> </u>	CONTOUR INTERVAL: 0.25m	
<u> </u>	CUT AND FILL CONTOURS ARE FROM THE	EXISTING
	SURFACE LEVEL TO THE PROPOSED FINIS	HED
	LEVELS ARE IN TERMS OF THE L\$S AUCKI VERTICAL DATUM 1946	AND
\	Title	Sheet No.
	ASBUILT CUT TO FILL CONTOUR PLAN	
)		AB-004
	Job No. A3-24488 AB	Rev.



								_	
		ASBU	ILT STOP	RMWA	TER	PIP	ELIN	IES]
		SW LINE	DIA. (mm)	MATE	RIAL	LEN((n	GTH 1)	GRAD E (%)	
		EXI-AI	600	RCR	RJ	4.3	, 33	3.70	1
		AI - A2	600	RCR	RJ	75.	83	0.96	ł
\backslash		A2 - A3	600	RCR	RJ	16.	80	1.96	ſ
		A3 - A4	525	RCR	RJ	33.	82	1.18	1
T		A4 - A5	375	RCR	RJ	92.	.21	1.55	1
1		A5 - A6	375	RCR	RJ	50.	.21	0.92	1
		AG - A7	300	RCR	RJ	39.	82	1.05	ł
	/	A7 - A8	300	RCR	RJ	18.	94	1.06	1
Ţ		A4 - B1	375	RCR	RJ	16.	30	1.84	1
		BI - B2	375	RCR	RJ	40.	84	0.95	1
	\setminus	B2 - B3	375	RCR	RJ	72.	52	0.98	
		B3 - B4	300	RCR	RJ	72.	90	1.02	
8		B4 - B5	300	RCR	RJ	13.	70	0.95	
		RDI - RD2	375	RCR	RJ	8.4	42	0.83	
		RD2 - RD3	375	RCR	RJ	10.	47	1.91	
		RDI - EXI	750	RCR	RJ	16.	77	0.48	Ì
		A4 - RD4	300	RCR	RJ	12.	49	3.12	
		BI - CPI	225	RCR	RJ	4.	17	-	
33		B3 - CP2	225	RCR	RJ	3.0	81	-	ſ
		AG - CP3	225	RCR	RJ	15.	71	-	
		EX4 - KD5	450	RCR	KJ RJ	25.	24	1.20	
`		NDJ - WW	430	NUN	.NJ	20.	54	0.44]
\backslash		ASBUII	_T STOR	MWA	TER	CAT	CHF	PITS	ſ
	/	CATCHPI	600PDI		CIE	75 (LID	1
X		TID	COORDIN	VATES	512	:E (mr	n)	LEVEL	
		CPI	1753747.	16 mE .50 mN	675	5 x 45	50	10.57	
		CP2	5975414	.02 mN	675	5 x 45	50	.77	
		CP3	5975475	.70 mN 92 mF	675	5 x 45	50	2. 7	
RD5		CP4	<u>5975406</u> 1753703	.42 mN 44 mF	450) x 45	50	12.22	
		CP5	5975311	.71 mN 67 mE	675	5 x 45	50	7.52	
		CP6	5975328	.66 mN	675	x 4:	50	8.31	
								\ \	
								\backslash	
	1	NOTE:						\]
\		I. ALL P	IPES ARE	REINFC	RCE	со	NCRI	ETE	
\backslash		UNLE:	SS STATE	d othe	ERWIS mm N	DE ON	I PLA	N. NEPTH	
takan and that	7	OF H	2 TYPE BE	DDING)
		3. ALL C	ATCH PIT	LEADS	ARE	225	mm (Ø	
ey Datum			ω.]
<u> </u>		LEGEND							
			EXISTING	STORN	ЛWAT	ER PI	ΡE		
<u> </u>			ASBUILT	STORN	1WATI	ER PI	PE		
			ASBUILT	SWALE	SUB	SOIL	DRA	INCOIL	
<u> </u>			STORMW	ATER P	'IPE T	O BE	REN	IOVED	
		(A3)	ASBUILT	STORN	1WATI	ER M	ANH	OLE ID	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Title					i	Sheet	No	_
	ASE	BUILT STOR	MWATER	PLAN			Gridel		
b							Α	B-012	2
-	Job N	١٥.					Rev.		
			Δ3-2448	8 AR				-	

I certify that th	ese As-built plans are an accurate record of the works under	taken and that:
 The Coord The Level 	linates (X, Y) are in terms of NZTM s (Z) are in terms of the Auckland 1946 (MSL) Lands & Surv	ey Datum
	L- The	
Signed:	Chartered Professional Engineer	<u> </u>
	19 August 2021	
Date:		<u> </u>
News	Palae P. Farley	
<u>Name:</u>		<u> </u>
ξ		$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$
		$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$
0		
0		$ \setminus \setminus \setminus $
USH PIT		$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$
00 SDRI I	=	$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$
	-	
_		
3	3	
ALVE		A
$\langle \rangle$	EXISTING PRIVATE WASTEWATER	
L.	CONNECTION TO LOT 33 TO RE	IMAIN
X		
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWN	/
	<u></u>	
\backslash		
\sim (CONNECT DEVELOPMENT RETICULATION INT	-0
E	EXISTING PUBLIC PRESSURE WASTEWATER : CONNECTION TO BE MADE BY WATERCARE	SYSTEM.
	CONNECTION TO BE	\backslash
	COMPLETED BY WATERCARE	
\backslash		
EVISTING P	UBUC PRESSURE WASTEWATER	
ASBUILT PL	JBLIC PRESSURE WASTEWATER	
ASBUILT PR	RIVATE PRESSURE WASTEWATER LOT CONN	IECTION
EXISTING P	IPE TO BE ABANDONED	
ASBUILT IS	OLATION VALVE	
ASBUILT BO	DUNDARY KIT	
ASBUILT M	ULTIPLE BOUNDARY KIT	
ASBUILT FL	USH PIT	
`		
	Title ASBUILT WASTEWATER PLAN	Sheet No.
`		AD 042
•		AD-013
	Job No. A3-24488 AB	Rev.

	G 7	
	2	
I certify that the The Coor The Leve Signed:	hese As-built plans are an accurate record of the works under dinates (X, Y) are in terms of NZTM Is (Z) are in terms of the Auckland 1946 (MSL) Lands & Sum Charter/d Professional Engineer	rtaken and that: vey Datum
<u>Date:</u>	Title ASBUILT KEYSTONE RETAINING WALL PLAN	 Sheet No. AB-015
	Job No. A3-24488 AB	Rev.

	\backslash	X
		1
\backslash	6	
\mathbf{A}		
\		
		\backslash
		\mathbf{A}
		$\langle \rangle$
		$\langle \rangle$
	\backslash	\setminus
	2	
	\backslash	
		\sim
I certify that the The Coor The Leve	nese As-built plans are an accurate record of the works unde dinates (X, Y) are in terms of NZTM Is (Z) are in terms of th@Auckland 1946 (MSL) Lands & Surv	rtaken and that: /ey Datum
Signed:	Prije Fily	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Chartered Professional Engineer	
Date:	20 August 202	<u> </u>
Name:	Paige P. Farley	<u> </u>
		Sheet No.
)	DESIGN ZONES	AB-016
	Job No.	Rev.
	A3-24488 AB	-

Appendix C: Laboratory Test Data

DETERMINATION OF THE WATER CONTENT, LIQUID LIMIT & LINEAR SHRINKAGE TEST METHOD NZS 4402 : 1986 TEST 2.1, 2.2 & 2.6

963 Matakana Road, Matakana		
	Project No :	20 0098 00
CMW Geosciences Ltd	Page :	1 of 1
PO Box 300206 Albany, Auckland 0754	Date of Order :	05.05.20
	Sample Method :	Hand auger
Jasmine Walden	Sample Date :	01.05.20
	Sampled By :	CMW Geosciences Ltd
	963 Matakana Road, Matakana CMW Geosciences Ltd PO Box 300206 Albany, Auckland 0754 Jasmine Walden	963 Matakana Road, MatakanaProject No :CMW Geosciences LtdPage :PO Box 300206Date of Order :Albany, Auckland 0754Sample Method :Jasmine WaldenSample Date :Sampled By :Sampled By :

Test Details :

Test performed on : History : Whole Sample Natural

Sample No.	Location	Depth	Liquid Limit	Linear Shrinkage	Natural Water Content
		(m)		ennuge	(%)
474K	HA02-20	-	72	18	22.9
475K	HA05-20	-	97	22	28.5
476K	HA07-20	-	80	18	31.2

Comments :

 Tested By:
 ZH
 Date :
 05 to 08.05.20

 Calculated By :
 ZH
 Date :
 11.05.20

 Checked By :
 ZH
 Date :
 12.05.20

DETERMINATION OF THE WATER CONTENT, LIQUID LIMIT & LINEAR SHRINKAGE TEST METHOD NZS 4402 : 1986 TEST 2.1, 2.2 & 2.6

Project Name :	963 Matakana Road		
		Project No :	21 0001 09
Client :	CMW Geosciences Ltd	Page :	1 of 1
Address :	PO Box 300206 Albany, Auckland 0754	Date of Order :	29.01.21
		Sample Method :	Hand auger
Attention :	J.Walden	Sample Date :	27.01.21
		Sampled By :	CMW Geosciences Ltd

Test Details :

Test performed on : History : Whole Sample Natural

Sample No.	Location	Depth (m)	Liquid Limit	Linear Shrinkage	Natural Water Content (%)
417m	Lot 8	0.4-0.8	102	22	41.7

Comments :

Tested By:	EC	Date :	04.02.21
Calculated By :	EC	Date :	09.02.21
Checked By :	ZH	Date :	09.02.21

DETERMINATION OF THE WATER CONTENT, LIQUID LIMIT & LINEAR SHRINKAGE TEST METHOD NZS 4402 : 1986 TEST 2.1, 2.2 & 2.6

Test Details :	Test performed o History :	.:	Whole Sar Natural	nple	Natural		
Attention :	L.Stuart-Williams	Sample Method Sample Date : Sampled By :	d :	Hand auger 10.05.21 CMW Geosciences Ltd			
Project Name : Client : Address :	963 Matakana Road CMW Geosciences Ltd PO Box 300206 Albany, Auckland 0754	Project No : Page : Date of Order :		21 0001 65 1 of 1 10.05.21			
Project Name :	963 Matakana Road	Project No ·		21 0001 65	i		

		(m)			(%)
10n	Lot 3	0.4-0.8	79	21	30.7
11n	Lot 10	0.4-0.8	97	19	45.6
12n	Lot 12	0.4-0.8	93	15	38.7
13n	Lot 15	0.4-0.8	86	19	41.3
14n	Lot 19	0.4-0.8	69	16	35.3
15n	Lot 23	0.4-0.8	96	19	38.6
16n	Lot 26	0.4-0.8	98	23	42.2
17n	Lot 30	0.4-0.8	72	16	33.5

Comments :

 Tested By:
 AS
 Date :
 18.05.21

 Calculated By :
 EC
 Date :
 25.05.21

 Checked By :
 ZH
 Date :
 26.05.21

Appendix D: Field Test Data

CM	WGeosci	ences												Auckland Lab CMW Geoscie Building C, 9 PO Box 30020 Phone: +64 (0	oratory ences (NZ) Ltd Piermark Drive D6, Albany, Au D9) 4144 632	Partnership e, Rosedale, NZ ckland, NZ 075	2 0632 52			
Project:		963 Matakana Road												Test Metho	ds:	Notes:	Solid Densit	y:		Assumed
Project No:		AKL2020-0049															Solid Densit	, y Data Source	e:	N/A
Location:		Matakana												NZS 4407 20)15 Test 3.1 🛇		Testing Loca	tions Selecte	ed By:	CMW Field Staff
Report No:		AKL2020-0049LAA Rev.0												NZS 4407 20)15 Test 4.2					
Report Date:		6/07/2021												NZS 4407 20)15 Test 4.3		Only samp	iles <2.0mm	will be consid	ered for endorsed testing
Client:		Cabra Properties Limited												NZGS:Augus	t 2001		1) Blade size	e of 19mm use	d.	
Client Address	:	19 Tamariki Avenue, Orewa, <i>i</i>	Auckland 0931												FUTING LABORA	Test result accredit scope of accredit	Its indicated as not ed are outside the the laboratory's ation		Measurement and are outsid	s marked * are not accredited e the scope of the laboratories accreditation
					Van	e ID		In-situ Va	ane Shea	r Strengt	hs			Fi	eld and Labora	atory Testing [Data			
Date Sampled	Sample No.	Testing Location	Soil Description*	Solid Density (t/m³) *	Head #	Blade #	Test 1 (kPa)	Test 2 (kPa)	Test 3 (kPa)	Test 4 (kPa)	Ave.	Gauge Wet Density (t/m ³) **	Gauge Dry Density (t/m ³)	Gauge Water Content (%)	Gauge Air Voids (%)	Gauge Probe Depth (mm)	Oven Water Content (%)	Oven Dry Density (t/m ³)	Oven Calculated Air Voids (%) *	Comments
12/01/2021	N1	Refer to Site Plan	CLAY	2.70	2992	2992	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	1.88	1.46	29.0	4	300	27.5	1.48	5	
18/01/2021	N2	Refer to Site Plan	CLAY	2.70	2080	2080	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	1.81	1.40	29.5	7	300	21.1	1.49	13	
22/01/2021	N3	Refer to Site Plan	CLAY	2.70	2080	2080	UTP	UTP	UTP	208+	208+	1.91	1.50	27.4	3	300	24.2	1.54	6	Retest of N2
26/01/2021	N4	Refer to Site Plan	CLAY	2.70	2080	2080	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	1.94	1.56	24.4	4	300	17.7	1.65	10	
	N5	Refer to Site Plan	CLAY	2.70	2080	2080	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	1.91	1.48	29.4	2	300	20.1	1.59	9	
9/02/2021	N6	Footpath	CLAY, minor Gravel	2.70	2080	2080	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	UTP	1.87	1.50	24.8	7	300	19.0	1.57	12	
26/03/2021	N7	SED	CLAY	2.70	2080	2080	163	208+	UTP	145	172+	1.84	1.40	31.8	4	300	27.0	1.45	7	
7/04/2021	N8	Pond Fill	CLAY	2.70	2080	2080	UTP	UTP	UTP	208+	208+	1.90	1.49	27.8	4	300	25.3	1.52	6	
	N9	Pond Fill	CLAY	2.70	2080	2080	166	148	193	208+	179+	1.90	1.46	30.6	1	. 300	25.3	1.52	5	
This report sh Created By: Checked By: Authoriced Si	BZ JLM	be reproduced in full.	Date: Date: Date:	19/01/2021 6/07/2021 6/07/2021			1			1	1	** Gauge Wet	I Densities outsid	e of the calibrat	Led range of 1.72	1 28 to 2.756 t/m ³	I are not accredit	Led and are outs	ide the laborato	Page: 1 of 2

Geos	ciences		NZS 4402: 1988 1850 0.5.2								
Project:		963 Matakana Roa	d								
Project No:		AKL2020-0049				Auckland Labor CMW Geoscien	atory ces (NZ) Ltd Part	nership			
Location:		Matakana				Building C, 9 Pie PO Box 300206	ermark Drive, Ro , Albany, Aucklar	sedale, NZ 0632 nd, NZ 0752			
Report No:		AKL2020-0049LAB	Rev.0			Phone: +64 (09) 4144 632				
Test Date:		3/02/2021				Testing Locatio	ns Selected By:	CMW Field Staf	f		
Tested By:		HN				CCREDITE.					
Client:		Cabra Developmen	ts			P	Test results	s indicated as not d are outside the	* Equivalent CB	R Values are not	
Client Address:		19 Tamariki Avenue	e, Orewa, Auckland	0931		TESTING LABORATO	Coope of the laboratory's accreditation			outside the scope of 's accreditation	
T		1		2		2		4		r	
Test No	Pa	1	Ba	2	Po	3 ad 3	Dec	4 	Pa		
Test Location	KU:		KO:		RO		KU4	30 Z	KO.	au z	
Chainage & Offset	CH 5C/		CH 5C/		Cr SC/		CH SC/		CH		
Material & Layer	30/		30/		30/		30/		30/		
Depth (mm)	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	
0 - 100	6	13	6	13	5	10	3	6	6	13	
100 - 200	4	8	2	4	3	6	2	4	3	6	
200 - 300	2	4	2	4	1	2	2	4	2	4	
300 - 400	2	4	3	6	1	2	2	4	2	4	
400 - 500	2	4	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	4	
500 - 600	2	4	2	4	1	2	2	4	1	2	
600 - 700	2	4	2	4	2	4	2	4	2	4	
700 - 800	1	2	1	2	2	4	1	2	2	4	
800 - 900											
900 - 1000											
Test No		6		7		8		9	1	10	
Test Location	Ro	ad 2	Ro	ad 2	Ro	ad 2	Roa	ad 2	Roa	ad 2	
Chainage & Offset	СН	90R	CH	100L	CH	110R	CH:	120L	CH1	130R	
Material & Layer	SG/	CLAY	SG/	CLAY	SG/	CLAY	SG/	CLAY	SG/	CLAY	
Depth	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	
0 - 100	3	6	6	13	3	6	6	13	4	8	
100 - 200	1	2	2	4	1	2	2	4	1	2	
200 - 300	2	4	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	
300 - 400	1	2	2	4	1	2	2	4	1	2	
400 - 500	2	4	2	4	2	4	1	2	0	0	
500 - 600	2	4	2	4	2	4	1	2	1	2	
600 - 700	3	6	2	4	2	4	2	4	1	2	
700 - 800	5	10	3	6	3	6	1	2	2	4	
800 - 900											
900 - 1000	<u> </u>				L						
Created by:	JLM			Date:	10/02/2021		This *Equivalent CE	report should onl	y be reproduced i using AUSTROADS (n full 2010) Guide to	
Checked by:	JLM			Date:	28/06/2021	ravement rechnology ear 2, Figure 3.3, For Fine Grained Conesive Soils, and are relevant to fine grained cohesive soils only.					
Authorised Signatory:	JW			Date:	2/07/2021	Page 1 of 3					

LF14 Rev.12 Dynamic Cone Penetration (DCP) Test Report NZ5 4402: 1988 Test 6.5.2

Geos	ciences	1823 4402. 1300 1651 0.3.2										
Project:		963 Matakana Roa	d			And he distants of						
Project No:		AKL2020-0049				CMW Geoscien	atory ces (NZ) Ltd Part	nership				
Location:		Matakana				Building C, 9 Pie PO Box 300206	ermark Drive, Ro , Albany, Aucklar	sedale, NZ 0632 nd, NZ 0752				
Report No:		AKL2020-0049LAB	Rev.0			Phone: +64 (09) 4144 632					
Test Date:		3/02/2021				Testing Locatio	ns Selected By:	CMW Field Staf	f			
Tested By:		HN				CRED/S						
Client:		Cabra Developmen	ts			ACCREDITED	Test results	indicated as not	* Equivalent CB	R Values are not		
Client Address:		19 Tamariki Avenue	e, Orewa, Auckland	0931		TEATING LABORATO	scope of t accredita	a are outside the he laboratory's tion	accredited and are the laboratory	outside the scope of 's accreditation		
Test No	1	1	1	12	:	13	1	4	1	15		
Test Location	Ro	ad 2	Roa	ad 2	Ro	ad 2	Roa	ad 2	Ro	ad 2		
Chainage & Offset	CH	140L	CH	150R	СН	160L	CH1	170R	CH	180L		
Material & Layer	SG	/СН	SG	/СН	SG	ј/СН	SG	/СН	SG	/СН		
Depth (mm)	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*		
0 - 100	4	8	6	13	6	13	1	2	2	4		
100 - 200	2	4	2	4	4	8	1	2	2	4		
200 - 300	2	4	2	4	1	2	2	4	1	2		
300 - 400	2	4	2	4	1	2	2	4	1	2		
400 - 500	2	4	2	4	2	4	2	4	2	4		
500 - 600	1	2	1	2	1	2	3	6	2	4		
600 - 700	1	2	2	4	1	2	3	6	2	4		
700 - 800	1	2	2	4	2	4	5	10	2	4		
800 - 900												
900 - 1000												
Test No	1	16	1	17		18	1	.9	20			
Test Location	Ro	ad 2	Ro	ad 2	Ro	ad 2	Roa	ad 2	Ro	ad 2		
Chainage & Offset	CH:	190R	CH	200L	Turna	around	Turna	iround	Turna	round		
Material & Layer	SG	/СН	SG	/СН	SG	ј/СН	SG	/СН	SG	/СН		
Depth	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*		
0 - 100	2	4	4	8	3	6	4	8	4	8		
100 - 200	1	2	2	4	1	2	1	2	2	4		
200 - 300	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	4		
300 - 400	2	4	1	2	1	2	2	4	1	2		
400 - 500	2	4	2	4	1	2	2	4	2	4		
500 - 600	2	4	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	4		
600 - 700	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2		
700 - 800	2	4	2	4	2	4	2	4	2	4		
800 - 900												
900 - 1000												
Created by:	JLM			Date:	10/02/2021		This *Equivalent CE	report should onl	y be reproduced i	n full (2010) Guide to		
Checked by:	JLM			Date:	28/06/2021	Pavement Technology Part 2, Figure 5.3, For Fine Grained Cohesive Soils, and are relevant to fine grained cohesive soils only.						
Authorised Signatory:	atory: JW Date: 2/C					Page 2 of 3						

Geose Geose	ciences	NZS 4402. 1988 1950 0.3.2										
Project:		963 Matakana Road	Ł									
Project No:		AKL2020-0049				Auckland Labor CMW Geoscien	atory ces (NZ) Ltd Part	nership				
Location:		Matakana				Building C, 9 Pie PO Box 300206	ermark Drive, Ro , Albany, Aucklar	sedale, NZ 0632 nd, NZ 0752				
Report No:		AKL2020-0049LAC I	Rev.0			Phone: +64 (09) 4144 632					
Test Date:		3/03/2021				Testing Location	ns Selected By:	CMW Field Staf	f			
Tested By:		HN				CREDIN						
Client:		Cabra Developmen	ts			ACCULEO	Test result	s indicated as not	* Equivalent CB	R Values are not		
Client Address:		19 Tamariki Avenue	e, Orewa, Auckland	0931		THING LABORATC	scope of t accredita	he laboratory's tion	accredited and are outside the scope of the laboratory's accreditation			
Test No		1		2		3		4		5		
Test Location	JC	DAL	JC	DAL	JC	DAL	JC	AL	JC	AL		
Chainage & Offset	CH	220L	CH2	210R	CH	200L	CH1	190R	CH:	180L		
Material & Layer	150mm ha	ardfill on SG	150mm ha	rdfill on SG	150mm ha	ardfill on SG	150mm ha	rdfill on SG	150mm ha	rdfill on SG		
Depth (mm)	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*		
0 - 100	6	13	11	20+	4	8	8	18	4	8		
100 - 200	9	20	8	18	12	20+	9	20	14	20+		
200 - 300	5	10	8	18	6	13	4	8	8	18		
300 - 400	4	8	3	6	4	8	5	10	4	8		
400 - 500	2	4	2	4	3	6	3	6	6	13		
500 - 600	2	4	2	4	3	6	3	6	3	6		
600 - 700	1	2	2	4	2	4	4	8	3	6		
700 - 800	1	2	2	4	1	2	3	6	2	4		
800 - 900												
900 - 1000												
Test No		6		7		8		9	1	10		
Test Location	JC	DAL	JC	DAL	JC	DAL	JC	AL	JC	AL		
Chainage & Offset	CH170R		CH:	160L	CH	150R	CH:	140L	CH1	130R		
Material & Layer	150mm ha	ardfill on SG	150mm ha	ardfill on SG	150mm ha	ardfill on SG	150mm ha	rdfill on SG	150mm ha	rdfill on SG		
Depth	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*		
0 - 100	8	18	1	2	8	18	3	6	5	10		
100 - 200	10	20+	6	13	11	20+	4	8	11	20+		
200 - 300	11	20+	12	20+	7	15	4	8	7	15		
300 - 400	7	15	8	18	3	6	3	6	4	8		
400 - 500	3	6	3	6	2	4	2	4	2	4		
500 - 600	3	6	2	4	3	6	2	4	1	2		
600 - 700	2	4	1	2	2	4	2	4	2	4		
700 - 800	1	2	3	6	2	4	2	4	2	4		
800 - 900												
900 - 1000	<u> </u>				L					n full		
Created by:	JLM			Date:	8/03/2021	2021 *Equivalent CBR values calculated using AUSTROADS (2010) Guide to Pavement Technology Part 2, Figure 5.3. For Fine Grained Cohesive Soils, ar				2010) Guide to Cohesive Soils. and		
Checked by:	JLM			Date:	28/06/2021	are relevant to fine grained cohesive soils only.						
Authorised Signatory:	JW			Date:	2/07/2021		Page 1 of 4					

Geosciences													
Project:		963 Matakana Road	Ł			Auckland Laboratory CMW Geosciences (NZ) Ltd Partnership Building C, 9 Piermark Drive, Rosedale, NZ 0632 PO Box 300206, Albany, Auckland, NZ 0752							
Project No:		AKL2020-0049											
Location:		Matakana											
Report No:		AKL2020-0049LAC I	Rev.0			Phone: +64 (09) 4144 632							
Test Date:		3/03/2021				Testing Locations Selected By: CMW Field Staff							
Tested By:		HN				CREDIN	1						
Client:		Cabra Developmen	ts			PCCKLDITED	Test result:	s indicated as not	* Equivalent CBR Values are not				
Client Address:		19 Tamariki Avenue	e, Orewa, Auckland	0931		accredited are outside the scope of the laboratory's accreditation							
Test No	1	11	1	.2	:	13	1	4	15				
Test Location	JC	DAL	JC	AL	JC	DAL	JOAL		JOAL				
Chainage & Offset	CH	120L	CH1	110R CH1		100L	CH90R		CH80L				
Material & Layer	150mm ha	ardfill on SG 150mm h		rdfill on SG	150mm ha	ardfill on SG	150mm hardfill on SG		150mm hardfill on SG				
Depth (mm)	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*			
0 - 100	2	4	8	18	4	8	3	6	3	6			
100 - 200	6	13	10	20+	8	18	16	20+	9	20			
200 - 300	5	10	6	13	5	10	8	18	5	10			
300 - 400	3	6	4	8	3	6	7	15	3	6			
400 - 500	1	2	1	2	2	4	5	10	2	4			
500 - 600	2	4	2	4	2	4	5	10	1	2			
600 - 700	0	0	0	0	2	4	8	18	1	2			
700 - 800	2	4	2	4	2	4	6	13	2	4			
800 - 900													
900 - 1000													
Test No		16		17		18	19		20				
Test Location		JAL .		OAL J		DAL	JOAL		JOAL				
Chainage & Offset CH		'OR CH		H60L CH		40R	CH30L		CH20R				
Material & Layer	150mm ha	ardfill on SG	150mm ha	rdfill on SG	150mm ha	ardfill on SG	SG		150mm hardfill on SG				
Depth	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*			
0 - 100	4	8	9	20	4	8	8	18	4	8			
100 - 200	8	18	10	20+	10	20+	6	13	10	20+			
200 - 300	13	20+	7	15	12	20+	5	10	5	10			
300 - 400	5	10	5	10	3	6	2	4	2	4			
400 - 500	4	8	3	6	3	6	1	2	1	2			
500 - 600	2	4	2	4	1	2	0	0	1	2			
600 - 700	2	4	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2			
700 - 800	1	2	2	4	1	2	2	4	2	4			
800 - 900													
900 - 1000													
Created by:				Date:	0 100 10		This *Equivalent CF	report should onl	y be reproduced i	n full 2010) Guide to			
Checked by:	JLM		Date: 8/03/202				Pavement Technology Part 2, Figure 5.3, For Fine Grained Cohesive Soils, and						
	JLM	Date: 28/00					,.						
Autoriseu Signatory:	JW			Date.	2/07/2021		1		1000 2014				

Geosciences

Geosciences		NZS 4402: 1988 Test 6.5.2											
Project:		963 Matakana Roa	d			A set la set la la la se							
Project No:		AKL2020-0049				Auckland Laboratory CMW Geosciences (NZ) Ltd Partnership							
Location:		Matakana				Building C, 9 Piermark Drive, Rosedale, NZ 0632 PO Box 300206. Albany. Auckland. NZ 0752							
Report No:	AKL2020-0049LAC	Rev.0			Phone: +64 (09) 4144 632								
Test Date: 3/03/20						Testing Location	ns Selected By:	CMW Field Staff					
Tested By:		HN											
Client:		Cabra Developmer	its			PCCREDITEO	Test result	s indicated as not	* Fourivalent CBR Values are not				
Client Address:		19 Tamariki Avenu	e, Orewa, Auckland	0931		FSTING LABORATO	accredite scope of t accredita	accredited and are outside the scope of the laboratory's accreditation					
Test No		21											
Test Location	JC	DAL											
Chainage & Offset	CH	110L					1						
Material & Layer	5	G											
Depth (mm)	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*			
0 - 100	1	2											
100 - 200	2	4											
200 - 300	2	4											
300 - 400	1	2											
400 - 500	1	2											
500 - 600	2	4											
600 - 700	1	2											
700 - 800	2	4											
800 - 900													
900 - 1000													
Test No													
Test Location													
Chainage & Offset													
Material & Layer		•											
Depth	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*	Blow Count	Equiv CBR*			
0 - 100													
100 - 200													
200 - 300													
300 - 400													
400 - 500													
500 - 600													
600 - 700													
700 - 800													
800 - 900													
900 - 1000			l		<u> </u>				<u> </u>				
Created by:	JLM			Date:	8/03/2021		This *Equivalent C	R values calculated	y be reproduced i	n full 2010) Guide to			
Checked by:	JLM			Date:	28/06/2021		Pavement Technology Part 2, Figure 5.3, For Fine Grained Cohesive Soils, and are relevant to fine grained cohesive soils only.						
Authorised Signatory: JW				Date:	2/07/2021		Page 3 of 4						

CM		LF12 Rev.13	Aggreg	ate Field	Density ND	M - Backscatter R	epo	rt			Auckland Laborator CMW Geosciences Building C, 9 Pierma PO Box 300206, Alb Phone: +64 (09) 41-	ry (NZ) Ltd Partnershi ark Drive, Rosedale oany, Auckland, NZ 44 632	p e, NZ 0632 0752				
Proiect:		963 Matakana Road									Test Methods:		Notes:				
Project No:		AKI 2020-0049											Solid Density		Assumed		
Location:		Matakana									NZS 4407:2015 Test 3.1 ◊		Soild Density Data Source:		N/A		
Report No:		AKI 2020-0049I AD Rev 0									NZS 4407:2015 Test 4.3 Testing Locations Selected By: C				CMW Field Staff		
Report Date:		6/07/2021										2.0mm will be co	nsidered for endo	rsed testing			
Client:		Cabra Properties Limited	U/U/2221											CCRED/TEA			
Client Addres	s:	19 Tamariki Avenue, Orewa, Au	ckland 0931									Measurements marked * are not accredited and are outside the laboratory's scope of accreditation					
		Test Location	•		Material Details						Fie	eld and Testing Da	ta				
Date Sampled	Sample No.	Test Area	RL/Depth	Material*	Quarry	Material Data Source	OMC* (%)	MDD* (t/m³)	SD* (t/m³)	Gauge Wet Density (t/m ³) **	Gauge Dry Density (t/m³)	Gauge Water Content (%)	Calculated Compaction (%) *	Calculated Total Voids (%) *	Comments		
24/06/2021	B1	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.39	2.29	4.6	97	16	5		
	B2	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.47	2.34	5.4	99	14	L .		
	B3	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.41	2.31	4.7	98	15			
	B4	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.42	2.32	4.1	98	15			
	B5	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.43	2.35	3.6	100	14	L		
	B6	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.43	2.34	3.8	99	14	L .		
	B7	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.40	2.31	3.9	98	15			
	B8	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.37	2.28	3.9	97	16	5		
	B9	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.37	2.31	3.0	98	15			
	B10	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.54	2.43	4.4	103	11			
	B11	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.53	2.43	4.2	103	11			
	B12	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.44	2.33	4.4	99	14	,		
	B13	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.49	2.40	3.8	102	12			
	B14	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.65	2.56	3.6	108	6	5		
	B15	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.42	2.32	4.4	98	15	5		
	B16	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.47	2.37	4.2	100	13			
	B17	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.48	2.39	4.0	101	12			
	B18	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.43	2.33	4.4	99	14			
	B19	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.44	2.32	5.2	98	15	5		
	B20	Refer to site plan	-	TNZ40	Matakana	Coffey MDD:ETAM20S-03809	5.00	2.36	2.72	2.48	2.37	4.6	100	13	;		
This report s	hould only	be reproduced in full.	1	1	1	1	<u>I</u>			** Gauge Wet Densit accreditation.	ies outside of the calib	rated range of 1.728 t	to 2.756 t/m ³ are not a	ccredited and are ou	tside the laboratories scope of		
Created By:	JLM				Date:	24/06/2021											
, Checked By:	JLM				Date:	6/07/2021											
, Authorised S	ignatory:	JW			Date:	6/07/2021									Page: 1 of 2		

Appendix E: Producer Statement

14 June 2021

Document Ref: AKL2020-0049AE Rev.0

Cabra Properties Limited 19 Tamariki Avenue PO Box 197 Orewa

Attention: Duncan Unsworth

Dear Duncan

RE: GEOTECHNICAL CONSTRUCTION REVIEW FOR FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTION OF KEYSTONE RETAINING WALLS – BCO10319051

963 MATAKANA ROAD, MATAKANA

CMW Geosciences (CMW) visited the site at 963 Matakana Road, Matakana legally described as Lot 1 DP 556084 on several occasions between April 2021 and May 2021 to observe the ground conditions and hardfill compaction for the site works in the construction of the keystone retaining walls.

Our work has included review of the following documents and drawings:

- Conditions of Auckland Council Building Consent referenced BCO10319051 issued 7 January 2021;
- Consented construction drawings, prepared by Hutchinson Consulting Limited, referenced Cabra Properties Limited, Proposed Subdivision 941, 951 and 963 Matakana Road, Matakana, Job Number – A3-24488 EPA Sheets RW-602 and RW-605, dated October and December 2020;
- Geotechnical report for 963 Matakana Road, Matakana, prepared by CMW Geosciences, referenced AKL2020-0049AB Rev.0, dated 25 May 2020.

The site works observed and/or tested by CMW staff incorporated:

assessment of soil strengths in the exposed foundation excavations;

CMW inspected the ground conditions of the subgrade for some areas of the keystone retaining walls, however, not all walls were checked due to hardfill having already been placed when CMW arrived on site. The areas which were observed had vane shear strength readings in excess of 148kPa and were generally founded in engineered fill.

assessment of hardfill compaction within retaining wall footing foundations;

The approximately 150mm thick GAP65 hardfill leveling pad beneath the retaining walls was inspected by CMW. Impact hammer tests were completed, and values observed ranged from 21CIV to 33CIV with hardfill appearing generally well bound and compacted.

On the basis of our observations and testing, we consider that the site works observed and/ or tested have been completed in accordance with the approved Building Consent and related approved documentation described above, are in accordance with the requirements and/or recommendations of the geotechnical report and provide the basis for our attached PS4 Construction Review producer statement.

CMW's site presence during construction for this project included periodic observations of specific elements of work as described herein. As we were not on site at all times during construction, we have relied on the Contractor's diligence and their construction observations to ensure that the works have been carried out in accordance with:

- a) The approved Contract drawings and design details;
- b) The approved Contract specifications;
- c) Authorised Variations to (a) and (b) during the execution of the works;
- d) The conditions of Resource and Building Consents where applicable;
- e) The relevant Geotechnical Investigation reports, recommendations and site instructions;

and that all as-built information and other details provided to the Client and/or CMW are accurate and correct in all respects.

For and on behalf of CMW Geosciences

Gibb

Sam Gibb Principal Geotechnical Engineer, CPEng

- Distribution: 1 electronic copy to Cabra Properties Limited via email Original held at CMW Geosciences
- Attachments: Producer Statement Construction Review

PRODUCER STATEMENT – PS4 – CONSTRUCTION REVIEW

ISSUED BY: CMW Geosciences (NZ) Limited Partnership
TO: Cabra Properties Limited
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Auckland Council (Building Consent Authority)
IN RESPECT OF: Siteworks for the ground conditions and hardfill compaction in the keystone retaining wall foundations (Description of Building Work)
AT: 963 Matakana Road, Matakana (Address)
Town/City: Auckland
(Address)
We <u>CMW Geosciences (NZ) Limited Partnership</u> have been engaged by <u>Cabra Properties Limited</u> (Construction Review Firm)
To provide CM1 CM2 CM3 CM4 CM5 (Engineering Categories) or sobservation as per agreement with
owner/developer.Cabra Properties Limited
or so other as described in CMW letter referenced AKL2020-0049AE Rev.0, dated 14 June 2021 (Extent of Engagement)
in respect of clause(s)
documents relating to Building Consent No. BCO10319051
Building Consent Amendment(s) Nos
Authorised instructions/variations(s) No
On the basis of this review these review(s) and information supplied by the contractor during the course of the works and on behalf of the firm undertaking this Construction Review, I believe on reasonable grounds that All or P art only of the building works have been completed in accordance with the relevant requirements of the
Building Consent and Building Consent Amendments identified above, with respect to Clause(s)
I, Sam Gibb (Author #3126) (Name of Construction Review Professional) CPEng.# 1023416
I am a member of: Engineering New Zealand and hold the following qualifications BSc, CMEngNZ, CPEng
The Construction Review Firm issuing this statement holds a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance no less than \$200,000*.
The Construction Review Firm is a member of ACE New Zealand:
SIGNED BY Sam Gibb (Author #3126) (Name of Construction Baylow Professional)
ON BEHALF OF CMW Geosciences (NZ) Limited Partnership Date 2/6/21
Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Design Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contrast, text exclose the sub-content and all other statements provided to the Building consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contrast, text exclose the sub-content and all other statements in the sub-content accrues in the sub-content of the sub-content accrues to the sub-content accrues to the sub-content of the sub-con
The form in the accompany former to the sum of \$200,000*.

This form is to accompany Forms 6 or 8 of the Building (Form) Regulations 2004 for the issue of a Code Compliance Certificate.

THIS FORM AND ITS CONDITIONS ARE COPYRIGHT TO ACE NEW ZEALAND AND ENGINEERING NEW ZEALAND

GUIDANCE ON USE OF PRODUCER STATEMENTS

Producer statements were first introduced with the Building Act 1991. The producer statements were developed by a combined task committee consisting of members of the New Zealand Institute of Architects, Institution of Professional engineers New Zealand (now Engineering New Zealand), ACE New Zealand in consultation with the Building Officials Institute of New Zealand. The original suit of producer statements has been revised at the date of this form as a result of enactment of the Building Act (2004) by these organisations to ensure standard use within the industry.

The producer statement system is intended to provide Building Consent Authorities (BCAs) with reasonable grounds for the issue of a Building Consent or a Code Compliance Certificate, without having to duplicate design or construction checking undertaken by others.

PS1 Design Intended for use by a suitably qualified independent design professional in circumstances where the BCA accepts a producer statement for establishing reasonable grounds to issue a Building Consent;

PS2 Design Review Intended for use by a suitably qualified independent design professional where the BCA accepts an independent design professional's review as the basis for establishing reasonable grounds to issue a Building Consent;

PS3 Construction Forms commonly used as a certificate of completion of building work are Schedule 6 of NZS 3910:2013 or Schedules E1/E2 of NZIA's SCC 2011²

PS4 Construction Review Intended for use by a suitably qualified independent design professional who undertakes construction monitoring of the building works where the BCA requests a producer statement prior to issuing a Code Compliance Certificate.

This must be accompanied by a statement of completion of building work (Schedule 6).

The following guidelines are provided by ACE New Zealand and Engineering New Zealand to interpret the Producer Statement.

Competence of Design Professional

This statement is made by a Design Firm that has undertaken a contract of services for the services named, and is signed by a person authorised by that firm to verify the processes within the firm and competence of its designers.

will professional competent design have а А proven professional qualification and current competence through registration on a national competence based register, either as a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPEng) or a Registered Architect.

Membership of a professional body, such as Engineering New Zealand (formerly IPENZ), provides additional assurance of the designer's standing within the profession. If the design firm is a member of the ACE New Zealand, this provides additional assurance about the standing of the firm.

Persons or firms meeting these criteria satisfy the term "suitably gualified independent design professional".

*Professional Indemnity Insurance

As part of membership requirements, ACE New Zealand requires all member firms to hold Professional Indemnity Insurance to a minimum level.

The PI Insurance minimum stated on the front of this form reflects standard, small projects. If the parties deem this inappropriate for large projects the minimum may be up to \$500,000.

Professional Services during Construction Phase

There are several levels of service which a Design Firm may provide during the construction phase of a project (CM1-CM5 for Engineers³). The Building Consent Authority is encouraged to require that the service to be provided by the Design Firm is appropriate for the project concerned.

Requirement to provide Producer Statement PS4

Building Consent Authorities should ensure that the applicant is aware of any requirement for producer statements for the construction phase of building work at the time the building consent is issued as no design professional should be expected to provide a producer statement unless such a requirement forms part of the Design firm's engagement.

Attached Particulars

Attached particulars referred to in this producer statement refer to supplementary information appended to the producer statement.

Refer Also:

- Conditions of Contract for Building & Civil Engineering Construction NZS 3910; 2013
- 2 NZIA Standard Conditions of Contract SCC 2011
- 3 Guideline on the Briefing & Engagement for Consulting Engineering Services (ACE New Zealand/IPENZ 2004)
- ⁴ PN Guidelines on Producer Statements

www.acenz.org.nz www.engineeringnz.org

